# Functional MRI in Perspective

Peter A. Bandettini, Ph.D.

Section on Functional Imaging Methods http://fim.nimh.nih.gov Laboratory of Brain and Cognition & Functional MRI Facility http://fmrif.nimh.nih.gov











"fMRI" or "functional MRI"



Motor (black) Primary Sensory (red) Integrative Sensory (violet) Basic Cognition (green) High-Order Cognition (yellow) Emotion (blue)

J. Illes, M. P. Kirschen, J. D. E. Gabrielli, Nature Neuroscience, 6 (3)m p.205

## Methodology



### Trends (that I find interesting)

## Methodology

more coils higher field strength parallel excitation/acquisition higher resolution perfusion imaging connectivity free behavior "resting state" multi-modal integration pattern classification voxel based morphometry

fluctuations dynamics cross - modal comparison

Interpretation

decision making genetics social cognition clinical "brain-reading"

Applications



Parallel Acquisition
SENSE Imaging
High Fields

#### Parallel Acquisition

#### 8 channel parallel receiver coil











GE 8 channel coil

Nova 8 channel coil

#### 16 channel parallel receiver coil



С





J. Bodurka, et al, Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 51 (2004) 165-171.

#### Parallel Acquisition



J. Bodurka

#### Parallel Acquisition



Resolution, Speed, Surface Coils, Field Strength, etc..

#### Parallel Acquisition

#### Simulated gains in TNSR with doubling sensitivity



### SENSE Imaging



MMM

#### $\approx$ 5 to 30 ms



#### Pruessmann, et al.

#### SENSE Imaging



3T single-shot SENSE EPI using 16 channels: 1.25x1.25x2mm

# 7T head coil

# 3T head coil

High Fields



TSE, 11 echoes, 7 min exam, 20cm FOV, 512x512 (0.4mm x 0.4mm), 3mm thick slices.

7T white matter SNR =65 Gray matter SNR = 76 3T white matter SNR =26 Gray matter SNR = 34



# Different fiber bundles depict different intensities





# fiber bundles?



FSE **images** at 0.2x.2x1mm<sup>3</sup>





#### Layered structure i n the visual cortex

#### High Fields

#### Susceptibility field (in Gauss) increases w/ $B_o$

#### Ping-pong ball in H<sub>2</sub>0: Field maps (DTE = 5ms), black lines spaced by 0.024G (0.8ppm at 3T)



1.5T

**3**T

High Fields

# 7T: Single Shot whole head EPI

3mm isotropic

single shot EPI, 7T. 64x64, 19cm FOV(3mm resolution), 3mm slice. TE=20ms



High Fields

# 7T: Single Shot whole head EPI

1.5mm inplane

single shot EPI, 7T. 128x128, 20cm FOV (1.5mm resolution), 2mm slice,

TE = 20ms



High Fields

## 7T Blood flow and BOLD based fMRI

#### Longer T1 means better ASL...

6 minute pulse Arterial Spin Labeling blood flow image

1.56mm × 1.56mm × 4mm

(3T typical resolution: 3mmx 3mm x 5mm)





New Contrasts
Paradigm Designs
Temporal Resolution
Spatial Resolution
Processing Methods

New Contrasts

# fMRI Contrast

- Volume (gadolinium)
- BOLD
- Perfusion (ASL)
- $\Delta CMRO_2$
- $\Delta Volume (VASO)$
- Neuronal Currents
- Diffusion coefficient
- Temperature

New Contrasts

# fMRI Contrast

- Volume (gadolinium)
- BOLD
- Perfusion (ASL)
- $\triangle CMRO_2$
- $\Delta Volume (VASO)$
- Neuronal Currents
- Diffusion coefficient
- Temperature

## New Contrasts Perfusion (ASL)

#### Better than BOLD for long duration activation...



GK Aguirre et al, (2002) NeuroImage 15 (3): 488-500

# Perfusion vs. BOLD: Low Task Frequency



Wang et al., 2002

## New Contrasts Perfusion (ASL)

ASL Perfusion fMRI vs. BOLD Improved <u>Intersubject</u> Variability vs. BOLD





Group (Random Effects)

Single Subject

Aguirre et al., NeuroImage, in press

#### New Contrasts

VASO



Lu et al, MRM 50 (2): 263-274 (2003)

Methodology Neuronal Activation Input Strategies 1. Block Design 2. Frequency Encoding 3. Phase Encoding 4. Event-Related 5. Orthogonal Block Design 6. Free Behavior Design.

Methodology Neuronal Activation Input Strategies 1. Block Design 2. Frequency Encoding 3. Phase Encoding 4. Event-Related 5. Orthogonal Block Design 6. Free Behavior Design.

## NAIS Free Behavior

#### Resting State Correlations



Rest: seed voxel in motor cortex

B. Biswal et al., MRM, 34:537 (1995)

## NAIS Free Behavior

#### BOLD correlated with 10 Hz power during "Rest"

Positive

10 Hz power

Negative



Goldman, et al (2002), Neuroreport


# NAIS Free Behavior

#### BOLD correlated with SCR during "Rest"



J. C. Patterson II, L. G. Ungerleider, and P. A Bandettini, NeuroImage 17: 1787-1806, (2002).

#### Free Behavior Regions showing decreases during cognitive tasks



R Anterior Cingulate Gyrus R Posterior Cingulate Gyrus L Middle Occipital Gyrus

- L Posterior Cingulate Gyrus
- L Anterior Cingulater/Superior Frontal Gyrus
- L Precuneus/Superior Parietal Lobule
- R Precuneus/Superior Parietal Lobule R Posterior Parieto-Occipital Cortex L Posterior Parieto-Occipital Cortex

NAIS



decreases from averaged active-passive scan pairs in 9 visual PET experiments Binder et al, 1999: Rest - tones

Mazoyer et al, 2001: Rest conditions jointly compared to 9 cognitive tasks using PET

Current study: Areas that deactivate relative to rest using fMRI and an auditory target detection task Location of deactivation common to two or more of the

McKiernan, et al (2003), Journ. of Cog. Neurosci. 15 (3), 394-408

## NAIS Free Behavior

#### Effects of Respiration on Signal



Birn et al. NeuroImage (submitted)

#### Individual Maps



C Resting-state correlation

B BOLD signal correlated with RVT



D Rest-state corr - after RVTcor



E Rest-state corr - Constant Respirations F Rest-state corr - Remove global





# NAIS Free Behavior

Birn et al. NeuroImage (submitted)

Group Maps

A Lexical task (de-) activation

C Resting-state correlation





B BOLD signal correlated with RVT



D Rest-state corr - after RVTcor





Birn et al. NeuroImage (submitted)

# NAIS Free Behavior

Z





## NAIS \*Free Behavior



Hasson, et al (2004), Science, 303, 1634-1640

# NAIS \*Free Behavior

#### One doesn't need prior knowledge as long as the task is repeatable



Levin, et al (2001), NeuroImage, 13, 153-160

#### **Temporal Resolution**





P. A. Bandettini, (1999) "Functional MRI" 205-220.



In an ideal world... no latency variation

Number of runs

R. Birn

#### **Spatial Resolution**



P. A. Bandettini, (1999) "Functional MRI" 205-220.

#### $0.47 \times 0.47$ in plane resolution



Cheng, et al. (2001) Neuron, 32:359-374

#### PSF FWHM = 3.5mm



S.A. Engel, et al. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science 35 (1994) 1977-1977.

#### $0.54 \times 0.54$ in plane resolution



Multi-shot with navigator pulse

Menon et al, (1999) MRM 41 (2): 230-235



# Post Undershoot Linearity (effect of task duty cycle)

Post Undershoot

## BOLD post-stimulus undershoot

20 sec Motor Stimulation



A BOLD undershoot without a CBF undershoot could be due to a slow return to baseline of either CBV or CMRO<sub>2</sub>

**Courtesy Rick Buxton** 

Post Undershoot

# **BOLD** Signal Dynamics



Courtesy Rick Buxton

#### duty cycle effects

#### Linearity



### duty cycle effects

### Linearity





# "Brain Reading"

Rather than mapping what is correlated with a task, "brain reading" involves predicting what the brain is doing based on the pattern of activation. Neuron, Vol. 35, 975-987, August 29, 2002, Copyright @2002 by Cell Press

#### Neural Correlates of Visual Working Memory: fMRI Amplitude Predicts Task Performance

Luiz Pessoa,<sup>1</sup> Eva Gutierrez, Peter A. Bandettini, and Leslie G. Ungerleider Laboratory of Brain and Cognition National Institute of Mental Health National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20892





# Differential mapping of human ocular dominance columns



Yacoub et al., 7T, (0.5mm)<sup>2</sup> × 3mm voxels, Hahn-spin-echo BOLD

# Differential mapping was needed

 Hi-res neuronal-pattern information: BOLD activity patterns distinguish the neuronal activity pattern evoked by left- and right-eye stimulation.

 Limited specificity: Voxels do not respond exclusively to neuronal activity within their boundaries. What data analysis is best suited to reveal fMRI pattern information?

# Pattern-recognition analysis of fMRI activity patterns

- Haxby et al. (2001)
- Cox & Savoy (2003)
- Carlson et al. (2003)
- Kamitani & Tong (2005)
- Haynes & Rees (2005)

# Visual object categories distinguished by widely distributed inferotemporal activity pattern



Haxby et al. (2001)

# Orientation information in early visual areas Kamitani & Tong (2005), Haynes & Rees (2005)

# Let's image the fine-scale orientation map with 3-mm voxels...



Boynton (2005), News & Views on Kamitani & Tong (2005) and Haynes & Rees (2005)



Boynton (2005), News & Views on Kamitani & Tong (2005) and Haynes & Rees (2005)



# Iso-orientation domains are not resolved, but the viewed orientation can be predicted



# Iso-orientation domains are not resolved, but the viewed orientation can be predicted



subject 1, V1 & V2, 400 voxels

Local combination of signals used for statistical power without data smoothing.

#### Visible







J.-D. Haynes and G. Rees, Nature Neuroscience, 8, 686-691 (2005).

# fMRI information

#### neuronal activity pattern

#### fMRI activity patterr

#### condition 1











Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) "brain reading": detecting and classifying distributed patterns of fMRI activity in human visual cortex

David D. Cox<sup>a,b,\*</sup> and Robert L. Savoy<sup>a,b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Rowland Institute for Science, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA
<sup>b</sup> Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Structural and Functional Biomedical Imaging, Charlestown, MA 02129, USA
<sup>c</sup> HyperVision, Inc., P.O. Box 158, Lexington, MA 02420, USA

Received 15 July 2002; accepted 10 December 2002

#### NEUROIMAGE 19 (2): 261-270 Part 1 JUN 2003



# Ways to think of a voxel.

Spatial specificity
accurate depiction of neuronal pattern up to the voxel Nyquist limit

Fine-scale neuronal-pattern information + sensitive detection of changes even beyond the voxel Nyquist limit

\*irregular multipronged sensor \*(Niko Kriegeskorte) Activation-based mapping: data smoothing (classical approach)

Information-based mapping: local multivariate analysis







#### N. Kriegeskorte, et al. (submitted)



**Extensive Individual Differences in Brain Activations During Episodic Retrieval Miller et al., 2002** 

Individual activations from the left hemisphere of the 9 subjects













Courtesy, Mike Miler, UC Santa Barbara and Jack Van Horn, fMRI Data Center, Dartmouth University







#### Section on Functional Imaging Methods

Rasmus Birn David Knight Anthony Boemio Nikolaus Kriegeskorte Kevin Murphy Monica Smith Najah Waters Marieke Mur Natalia Petridou Jason Diamond Jon West



#### **Functional MRI Facility**

Kay Kuhns Sean Marrett Wen-Ming Luh Jerzy Bodurka Adam Thomas

Karen Bove-Bettis Ellen Condon Sahra Omar Alda Ottley Paula Rowser Janet Ebron James Hoskie

