

Multi-echo fMRI removes physiological noise during naturalistic viewing

Micah N. Holness¹, Joshua B. Teves¹, Tyler Morgan¹, Gang Chen³, Javier Gonzalez-Castillo¹, Peter Bandettini^{1,2}, Daniel A. Handwerker¹



1. Section on Functional Imaging Methods, Laboratory of Brain and Cognition, 2. Functional MRI Core Facility, 3. Scientific and Statistical Computer Core National Institute of Mental Health, NIH, MD, USA



INTRODUCTION

Physiological noise from respiration during naturalistic viewing may induce artifacts in inter-subject correlation analyses (ISC). If participants are watching the same movie and their breathing and heart rate are synchronized with events in the movie, this will cause synchronized global artifacts. Multi-echo fMRI helps to remove head motion artifacts and rapid pulsatile respiratory and cardiac artifacts, but current denoising methods, such as tedana^{4,7}, may be less effective at removing changes in blood oxygenation due to slow T2* weighted changes in respiratory rates and depths.

We collected data to induce these artifacts and test the effectiveness of current multi-echo denoising methods at removing these noise sources. We additionally examined a method to directly measure and remove slow breathing artifacts as part of multi-echo denoising

METHODS

Experimental Paradigm

24 participants completed runs where they watched a movie while doing a cued breathing task or just did the cued breathing task

RESULTS Group Maps of intra-subject correlations between runs show more focal activity after denoising and



artifacts between subjects that are reduced using multi-echo denoising.

The breathing cue was task-locked and varied according to phase amplitude and frequency. The participants alternated between movie viewing sessions with phase-A and phase-B respiration patterns, and phase-A-only resting-state sessions.

The movie and breathing sessions lasted for the same duration (~ 8 minutes), with 2-3 runs completed per subject, and with the same movie being shown on subsequent runs.







Acquisition

3T Siemens Prisma, Multi-echo fMRI (CMRR sequence, EPI, SMS=2, iPAT=2, TE=13.44, 31.7, & 49.96ms, 3.0mm³ isotropic voxels) Magnitude and phase scans were retained and 5 RF-off "noise" volumes were collected at the end of each sequence. (Phase and noise information were not used in these analyses)

Processing

Data was pre-processed with AFNI³. The respiration and cardiac traces for the design matrix were calculated using NiPhlem⁹. The within- and between-subject correlations were calculated using AFNI's 3dTcorrelate, within-subject correlations were assessed at the group level with 3dttest, and inter-subject BOLD synchronization was calculated with 3dISC. Runs were compared across 3 conditions: 1) movie x movie with different respiration patterns, 2) movie x rest with same respiration patterns, and 3) rest x rest with same respiration patterns. Our denoising method involved 1) tedana's denoising pipeline or 2) a combined regressors model that combined tedana with fitting regressors based on respiratory and cardiac signals, head motion, and CSF and white matter regressors to the component time series.



ICA components that were <u>Rejected by Regressors Only</u>, with highest variance explained

SF	Comp. 64: variance: 34.69%, kappa: 57.77, rho: 21.01, accepted reason(s): Less likely BOLD,Accept borderline seconds 0.0 45.0 90.0 135.0 180.0 225.0 270.0 315.0 360.0 405.0	Co	mp. 45: variance: 22.39%, kappa: 47.26, rho: 25.02, accepted rea seconds 0.0 45.0 90.0 135.0 180.0 225.0 270.0
I & C	mun man man man man	54%	www.www.www.www.
ar, WN	0 30 60 90 120 150 180 210 240 270 TRs 100	comp 4 xp=22 s freq	0 30 60 90 120 150 180 TRs 1 6 6 6
, phys_va		e run 1, c 5.0, var e ion, phys	0000
motion		5, movie $\beta, \rho = 25$ nif: mot	0000
ignif.	One Sided fft	Sub-0 : 47.3 Sig	One Sided fft
01	0.00 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.20 0.25 0.30 Hz	×	0.00 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.20 Hz
SF	Comp. 25: variance: 26.85%, kappa: 62.50, rho: 23.89, accepted reason(s): Accept borderline,Less likely BOLD seconds 0.0 45.0 90.0 135.0 180.0 225.0 270.0 315.0 360.0 405.0	°°	mp. 25: variance: 19.52%, kappa: 54.62, rho: 35.61, accepted re 0.0 45.0 90.0 135.0 180.0 225.0 270.0
& C	MANNA MANNA MANNA MANNA MANNA MANNA MANNA	25 19.5 0	mun man man man man man man man man man ma
MN	0 30 60 90 120 150 180 210 240 270 TRs	dun d	0 30 60 90 120 150 160 TRS
var, V		l, co 'ar ex tion	
n, phys_		ovie run = 35.6, v gnif: mo	
motio		-06, me 4.6, <i>p</i> = Sig	
gnif:	One Sided fft	Sub = 54	One Sided fft
Sig	0.00 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.20 0.25 0.30	ן יי א	0.00 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.20

25 70/

ub-62.

CONCLUSIONS

- Even with a naturalistic task with intentional slowrespiratory T2* artifacts, tedana removes a large portion of the observed noise
- A regressor that modeled slow respiratory changes was expected to remove more noise components, but many of these components were already removed by tedana
 - These components also contained motion, which is S0weighted noise & typically removed by tedana.
- Future plans
 - Improve tedana's selection method to more reliably remove all clear noise components
 - Test other regressor models that might identify noise sources missed by tedana

PROCESSING CODE

https://github.com/nimh-sfim/ComplexMultiEchol ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work utilized the computational resource of the NIH HPC Biowulf cluster: hpc.nih.gov REFERENCES

1. Birn, R. M. Neuroimage. 2006, 2. Chang, C, NeuroImage 2009 3. Cox, R. W. Computers and Biomedical Research. 1996 4. DuPre E., Salo, T. Journal of Open Source Software. 2021 5. Fischl, B. Cereb Cortex 200b, 6. Glover, G. Magnetic Resonance Medicine. 2000, 7. Kundu, P. Neuroimage. 2012, 8. Pruim, R. NeuroImage. 2015, 9. Verstynen, T, Deshpande V., NeuroImage 2011



